

Planning

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Rezoning for Caravan Park De	evelopment, River Street, Pa	Imers Island		
Proposal Summary ;	Environmental Management t	used rezoning of land from RU1 Primary Production to RU2 Rural Landscape and E3 conmental Management to faciltate the development of a caravan park and protection of an land adjacent to the Clarence River.			
PP Number :	PP_2013_CLARE_007_00	Dop File No :	11/17504		
oposal Details	near the star Sector Sector	a shi na tan ƙwang ta ƙasar ta			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	28-Nov-2013	LGA covered :	Clarence Valley		
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Clarence Valley Council		
State Electorate :	CLARENCE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Details					
	iver				
Street Ri					
		Palmers Island	Postcode 2463		
Suburb : Pa	almers Island City :	Palmers Island	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa			Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa	almers Island City :		Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo		Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo iicer Contact Details		Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright	t 22 DP 1122186	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g	t 22 DP 1122186	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g	t 22 DP 1122186	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails	t 22 DP 1122186	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails Deborah Wray	t 22 DP 1122186 ov.au	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Number : Contact Email :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails Deborah Wray 0266430271	t 22 DP 1122186 ov.au	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Mana	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails Deborah Wray 0266430271 deborah.wray@clarence.nsw.g	t 22 DP 1122186 ov.au	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Mana Contact Name :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails Deborah Wray 0266430271 deborah.wray@clarence.nsw.g ager Contact Details Jim Clark	t 22 DP 1122186 ov.au	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Mana Contact Name : Contact Name :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails Deborah Wray 0266430271 deborah.wray@clarence.nsw.g ager Contact Details Jim Clark 0266416604	t 22 DP 1122186 ov.au ov.au	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Mana Contact Name : Contact Name : Contact Name : Contact Name : Contact Name :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails Deborah Wray 0266430271 deborah.wray@clarence.nsw.g ager Contact Details Jim Clark 0266416604 jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au	t 22 DP 1122186 ov.au ov.au	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Mana Contact Name : Contact Name :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails Deborah Wray 0266430271 deborah.wray@clarence.nsw.g ager Contact Details Jim Clark 0266416604 jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au	t 22 DP 1122186 ov.au ov.au	Postcode : 2463		
Suburb : Pa Land Parcel : Pa DoP Planning Off Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Mana Contact Name : Contact Name : Contact Name : Contact Name : Contact Name :	almers Island City : art Lot 27 DP 1130643 and part Lo ficer Contact Details Denise Wright 0266416603 denise.wright@planning.nsw.g ails Deborah Wray 0266430271 deborah.wray@clarence.nsw.g ager Contact Details Jim Clark 0266416604 jim.clark@planning.nsw.gov.au	t 22 DP 1122186 ov.au ov.au	Postcode : 2463		

ADP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release Ha) :	1.63	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Employment Land	
lo. of Lots :	2	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	10	
The NSW Government obbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
f No, comment :		nning and Infrastructure's Code of P eetings with lobbyists has been com		
lave there been neetings or	Yes			
communications with egistered lobbyists? :				
Yes, comment :	Northern Region has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental officers and lobbyists concerning this proposal.			
upporting notes				
nternal Supporting Notes :		It in the creation of employment opp	ortunities in the local	
Notes .		number of jobs has not been identifi proposal indicates 53 cabin sites ar out 10-15 jobs.	ed at this stage, a preliminary	
External Supporting Notes :	concept design for the which may support ab	proposal indicates 53 cabin sites ar	ed at this stage, a preliminary	
External Supporting Notes : quacy Assessmen tatement of the ob	concept design for the which may support ab it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of ot planning proposal s 1. Rezone Lot 27 D to faciltate the us accomodation. 2. Rezone part Lot	proposal indicates 53 cabin sites ar out 10-15 jobs. Djectives adequately describes the in seeks to amend the Clarence Valley I P 1130643 from RU1 Primary Produc e of the land as a caravan park for te 22 DP 1122186 from RU2 Rural Land	ed at this stage, a preliminary nd 100 tourist sites on the land tent of the planning proposal. The LEP 2011 to: tion to RU2 Rural landscape emporary tourist scape to E3 Environmental	
External Supporting Notes : quacy Assessment atement of the ob- s a statement of the ob- Comment :	concept design for the which may support ab it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of ot planning proposal s 1. Rezone Lot 27 D to faciltate the us accomodation. 2. Rezone part Lot Management to p	proposal indicates 53 cabin sites ar out 10-15 jobs. Djectives adequately describes the in seeks to amend the Clarence Valley I P 1130643 from RU1 Primary Produc e of the land as a caravan park for te 22 DP 1122186 from RU2 Rural Land rotect and manage riparian land adju	ed at this stage, a preliminary nd 100 tourist sites on the land tent of the planning proposal. The LEP 2011 to: tion to RU2 Rural landscape emporary tourist scape to E3 Environmental	
External Supporting Notes : quacy Assessment tatement of the ob- s a statement of the ob- Comment :	concept design for the which may support ab it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of of planning proposal s 1. Rezone Lot 27 D to faciltate the us accomodation. 2. Rezone part Lot Management to p	proposal indicates 53 cabin sites ar out 10-15 jobs. Djectives adequately describes the in seeks to amend the Clarence Valley I P 1130643 from RU1 Primary Produc e of the land as a caravan park for te 22 DP 1122186 from RU2 Rural Land rotect and manage riparian land adju	ed at this stage, a preliminary nd 100 tourist sites on the land tent of the planning proposal. The LEP 2011 to: tion to RU2 Rural landscape emporary tourist scape to E3 Environmental	
External Supporting Notes : quacy Assessment tatement of the ob- s a statement of the ob- Comment :	concept design for the which may support ab it jectives - s55(2)(a) ojectives provided? Yes The statement of ot planning proposal s 1. Rezone Lot 27 D to faciltate the us accomodation. 2. Rezone part Lot Management to p isions provided - se ovisions provided? Yes	proposal indicates 53 cabin sites ar out 10-15 jobs. Djectives adequately describes the in seeks to amend the Clarence Valley I P 1130643 from RU1 Primary Produc e of the land as a caravan park for te 22 DP 1122186 from RU2 Rural Land rotect and manage riparian land adju	ed at this stage, a preliminary nd 100 tourist sites on the land tent of the planning proposal. The LEP 2011 to: tion to RU2 Rural landscape emporary tourist scape to E3 Environmental oining the Clarence River.	

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.5 Rural Lands 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

1.2 Rural Zones

4.3 Flood Prone Land

5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Mapping to indicate the current and proposed zoning of the land has been provided. This is adequate for exhibition purposes.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal considers that the rezoning is a minor amendment and acknowledges that public exhibition is required but does not indicate a time period for community consultation. Council's planner suggests a 28 day period is required in this instance.

The proposal involves inconsistency with the regional planning framework and the established landuse pattern. A 28 day exhibition period is considered appropriate to allow adequate time for public examination and comment on the proposal.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

- The planning proposal satisfies the adequacy criteria by:
- 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes.
- 2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed for the LEP to achieve the outcomes.
- 3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal.
- 4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program.
- 5. Providing a suitable project time line.

Council has not requested delegation in this instance for reasons that the proposal involves rezoning of regional significant farmland and there may be objection by Departmartment of Primary Industries. However at this stage it is recommended that delegation be issued to Council to allow it to process the planning proposal, noting that should a formal agency objection be received, delegation no longer applies.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in	Clarence Valley Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2011 was published in December 2011.
relation to Principal	This planning proposal amends LEP 2011.
LEP :	

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :

The planning proposal seeks to facilitate the relocation, re-establishment and expansion of a caravan park previously operating on land adjoining the Clarence River and the Palmers Island village.

The amendment to the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 to zone the land RU2 Rural Landscape is necessary to permit the relocation and redevelopment of a caravan park. Part of the area where the caravan park is proposed is zoned RU1, where caravan parks are a prohibited land use. The previous caravan park site was affected by river-bank erosion, and its approval lapsed in 2009,

The planning proposal involves land adjoining the Clarence River within the immediate and 100 year riverbank erosion management lines. A E3 Environmental Management Zone is proposed across all riparian areas including the land within the immediate management line to protect and manage its values.

Council advice is that the proposal will offset the anticipated loss of tourist sites, following redevelopment of tourist parks in the nearby Yamba coastal township. Additional employment opportunities will be provided and the economic benefit from tourist activities will be maintained in the region.

Palmers Island has two existing tourist parks with Clarence River frontage. These facilities provide a sought after low-cost rural experience with easy boat launching access to the Clarence River. Redevelopment of tourist parks in nearby Yamba has reduced the availability of caravan sites. The planning proposal to zone land to allow the proposed facility, has the potential to offset this loss, meet the demand for quieter rural tourist sites, and provide facilities for the expanding caravan/campervan/motorhome sector of tourism in this region.

In November 2011, the Deputy Director General determined that a similar planning proposal for this site should not proceed. The Maclean LEP 2001 applied at that time. The reasons for this decision were that the use of the land for a caravan park was considered inconsistent with State and regional planning framework particularly in regard to strategic justification, flood prone land and regionally significant farmland. Advice issued to Council with the Gateway Determination indicated that 'should Council determine that the use of the site as a caravan park is strategically justified, Council was encouraged to consider preparing another planning proposal for the site including further detailed justification in relation to the risks associated with flooding and river bank erosion'. Council subsequently met with the Department to clarify issues to be addressed to enable the planning proposal to proceed.

Council supports the current planning proposal on the basis that the matters raised in the 2011 Gateway Determination have been satisfactorily addressed or can be managed at the

development application stage. This view is supported.

Consistency with strategic planning	parks The Mid North Coast Regional Strategy (MNCRS)applies to the land and recognises the region as an important tourist destination, the need for economic growth and the
framework :	contribution of tourism to local economies.
namework.	The MNCRS requires that councils plan strategically for tourism development within an
	agreed local growth management strategy. Council's strategy is required to be consistent
	with the principles of North Coast and Northern Rivers Regional Tourism plans and to
	ensure a variety of tourism accommodation is provided in suitable locations.
	ensure a variety of tourism accommodation is provided in suitable locations.
	Clarence Valley Council advises that it does not plan to prepare a strategy to identify
	tourist development with the view that such a strategy would be difficult given the diverse
	and changeable nature of tourism. Rather, council considers the proposal as being
	consistent with several State, regional and local strategies which seek to develop the
	tourism infrastructure of the area (including caravan and camping).
	Council argues it is working to develop tourism in a strategic manner through the
	objectives of the MNCRS and these plans which are "Towards 2020: New South Wales
	Tourism Masterplan (2002)", "New South Wales Tourism Strategy (2008)"; "Through the
	looking glass: The future of domestic tourism in Australia (2008)"; the "New South Wales
	Tourism Strategy (2008)"; "Clarence River Way Masterplan" and advice from the Caravan
	and Camping Industry Association of New South Wales and Council's RV Friendly Town
	Scheme.
	The MNCRS defines the Palmers Island village area as a 'growth centre' which recognises
	the potential for infill development within the existing residential zoned area subject to
	further investigation. The subject land adjoins, but is not within the growth centre area.
	Palmers Island village is not identified for further urban expansion due to constraints of
	flooding, riverbank erosion and regionally signifcant agricultural land. The proposal is fo
	a low-key rural caravan park adjacent the village to provide short-term facilities for touris
	use.
	The proposal includes land identified as Regionally Significant Farmland (RSF)under the
	MNCRS which suggests that RSF needs to be largely protected from encroachment,
	fragmentation and conflicting uses. The planning proposal argues that the proposed use
	of the land is largely consistent with the six objectives of the Mid North Coast Farmland
	Mapping Project to guide development in regionally significant farmland areas. The RU2
	zone will maintain the established rural use of the land for current and future agricultural
	uses noting that only 1.63ha will contain the caravan park. While the land is dedicated to
	the long term cultivation of sugar cane, it is noted that agricultural practices in the Palme
	Island locality have diversified with the most recent use of part of the land for soy bean
	growing. Tea-tree production is proposed on land adjoining the park in the effluent
	disposal fields.
	Advice has been sought from the Department of Primary Industries and comments have
	been provided confirming its view that RSF should be protected for future agricultural us
	The planning proposal will be formally referred to the Department as part of the agency
	consultation process.
	The Clarence Valley Settlement Strategy (1999)generally encourages low-key tourism in
	small river villages and promotes the natural attractions and values of the Clarence Valle
	area. The proposal promotes low-key tourism use of land adjacent the Palmers Island
	village and the Clarence River for recreational activities. Two other small-scale tourist
	parks are located on the island but not adjacent to the village.
	Council has identified three State Environmental Planning Policies(SEPPs)and six S117
	Directions as being relevant to the proposal.
	Directions as being relevant to the proposal. SEPPs identified are SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008, SEPP 21 Caravan Parks and SEPP 71 Coastal Protection.

SEPP (Rural Lands). The planning proposal addresses the aims of the Policy reflecting the rural planning principles of the SEPP which requires councils to have regard for social, economic and environmental planning considerations when preparing a new LEP. It is argued that that the proposal does not impact on the productivity entitlement for the current farm and cane sugar production. The proposed zoning from a rural zone to another rural zone for a low-impact rural tourist park is considered of minor significance creating potential economic benefits for the community.

SEPP 21 Caravan Parks. The planning proposal addresses the heads of consideration for Council consent required by the Policy and is considered consistent with the provisions of the SEPPas follows:

-The site having previously operated as a caravan park, is considered suitable for this use. Only short-term tourist use of the park is proposed and although the land is flood affected, a flood assessment indicates that flood patterns are well documented to allow timely evacuation of the site. A site specific flood evacuation plan will be implemented to ensure safe evacuation of the site in the event of flood.

-It is considered a demand exists for caravan parks due to closures in the locality and the region.

-State and regional tourism strategies support the need for more low to medium cost tourist accommodation, particularly in this region.

-Adequate services will be available on site as part of the rural caravan park infrastructure with community facilities in nearby townships of Maclean and Yamba.

-The caravan park will comply with the provisions of the relevant local government regulation applying to caravan parks.

SEPP 71 Coastal Protection. The planning proposal addresses the aims of the Policy reflecting the heads of consideration for Council in the preparation of an LEP and Council in the determination of a development application on land to which the Policy applies. The proposal is considered consistent with relevant issues in that it seeks to protect and manage the natural, cultural, recreational and economic attributes of the coast by protecting sensitive riparian land of the Clarence River estuary by a E3 Environmental Management zone and maintaing public access to the river.

The following Section 117 Directions are relevant:

1.2 Rural Zones provides that a planning proposal shall not rezone land from rural to residential or tourist, or contain provisions which will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone.

The direction provides that a draft plan may be inconsistent with this direction if the land is identifed in a strategy which considers the objectives of this direction and is approved by the Director General, or the rezoning is of minor significance. The planning proposal to rezone 1.63ha of land from RU1 to RU2 to allow the establishment of a low-key short-term accommodation caravan/tourist park is considered of minor significance.

1.5 Rural Lands provides that a planning proposal should not affect land within a rural or environmental protection zone or reduce the minimum lot size applying to land unless consistent with the Rural Planning Principles or Rural Subdivision Principles in SEPP (Rural Lands).

The direction provides that a draft plan may be inconsistent with this direction if the land is identifed in a strategy which considers the objectives of this direction and is approved by the Director General, or the rezoning is of minor significance. As noted above the planning proposal argues that the proposal does not impact on the productivity entitlement for the current farm and cane sugar production. The proposed zoning from a rural zone to another rural zone for a low-impact rural tourist park is considered of minor significance creating potential economic benefits for the community. The planning proposal to rezone 1.63ha of land from RU1 to RU2 to allow the establishment of a low-key short-term accommodation caravan/tourist park is considered of minor significance.

3.2 Caravan parks and Manufactured Home Estates provides that the relevent planning

authority, in identifying suitable zones, locations and provisions for caravan parks must retain provisions and zones for the purposes of a caravan park. The direction provides that a draft plan may be inconsistent with this direction if the land is identifed in a strategy which considers the objectives of this direction and is approved by the Director General, or the rezoning is of minor significance. The planning proposal alters one rural zoning to another rural zone where caravan parks are permissible with development consent. The proposal is consistent.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils applies when a relevant planning authority prepares a planning proposal that will apply to land having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils as shown on the Acid Sulfate Soils (ASS) Planning Maps. The Clarence Valley LEP 2011 ASS maps apply to this land however the LEP contains Acid Sulfate Soil provisions to enable appropriate consideration of this issue at development application stage. The proposal is consistent.

4.3 Flood Prone Land is relevent as the proposal alters a provision affecting flood prone land. The Direction provides that a planning proposal may be inconsistent with this direction if a flood risk management plan has been prepared or the provisions of the planning proposal that are inconsistent are of minor significance.

A flood assessment has been prepared for the proposal which models the flood liability of the site and flood emergency management procedure for the rural caravan park. The flood assessment details that the site has a low risk flood liability with between 12 and 18 hours notice of road closures allowing adequate time for relocation of park guests to flood free areas.

The flood assessment references the Clarence Valley Local Flood Plan (June 2012) prepared by the State Emergency Service (SES). The flood plan details arrangements for evacuation of caravan parks and the relocation of caravans for the current caravan parks on Palmers Island. The flood liability of these parks is the same as the subject land and the existing evacuation proceedures can therefore be applied to the planning proposal site. A detailed site specific flood evacuation plan will be prepared for development application purposes when a final design of the park is completed.

The Flood Planning Maps of the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 identify the 'flood planning area' subject to LEP Clause 7.3 Flood planning which requires consideration of potential flood impacts both on and off the subject land. LEP Clause 7.4 Floodplain risk management requires the consent authority to be satisfied that proposed development for caravan parks, on land to which this clause applies will not, in flood events exceeding the flood planning level, effect the safe occupation of, and evacuation from the land.

The planning proposal provides detailed flood assessment and a site specific Flood Assessment to support the use of the land for a caravan park. The provisions of the LEP are commensurate with flood hazard and include consideration of the potential impacts both on and off the subject land.

Therefore the inconsistency with the direction is considered to be justified as being of minor significance.

5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies applies to give legal effect to the vision, land use strategy, policies, outcomes and actions of the regional strategies. The relevant strategy for the planning proposal is the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy (MNCRS). As discussed above Council considers that the use of the site for a caravan park is strategically justified and the planning proposal and its outcomes are consistent with the objectives and actions of the MNCRS. This view is supported. The proposal involves rezoning of land to allow the re-establishment and relocation of a caravan park which previously operated on a site adjacent the Clarence River. Council has presented reasonable argument to support the proposed use of the site and the demand for and economic benefit of the tourist park in this locality. The extent of the inconsistency with the MNCRS in this instance is considered to be of minor significance.

Environmental social economic impacts :

The proposed RU2 zoning of the land permits a development application to be lodged for the use of the site for a caravan park. Council confirms that a caravan park only for short-term tourist occupation will be permitted. The proposal also protects riparian land subject to riverbank erosion by a E3 Environmental Management zoning.

The planning proposal notes that upgrade of the intersection with the Yamba Road may be

required and the proposal be referred to NSW Transport - Roads and Maritime Services for advice.

Although the land has been disturbed through past land use practices the planning proposal will be referred to the Yaegl Aboriginal Land Council for an opportunity to comment.

The site has been significantly altered through past use as a caravan park and for agricultural purposes. The planning proposal justifies that the land is suitable for the proposed and that a caravan in this locality meets an identified demand for low-medium cost rural caravan/tourist facilities with river access.

The planning proposal has potential positive economic benefit, providing employment opportunites in the community and additional flow-on effects in the region, as well as replacing opportunities for short-term tourist occupancy which have been removed by the closure / redevelopment of caravan parks elsewhere.

Assessment Process

	Palmers Island Plann Palmers Island Plann	ing Proposal.pdf ing Proposal Report to	Council.pdf	Proposal Proposal		Yes No
	Document File Name			DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
Do	ocuments	12-15-142				
	If Yes, reasons :					
	Is the provision and fu	nding of state infrastruct	ure relevant to thi	s plan? No		
	No internal consultat			×		
		ensultations, if required :				
		neutrations, if required t				
	If Other, provide reaso	ns :				
	Identify any additional	studies, if required. :				
	If Yes, reasons :					
	Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No				
	lf no, provide reasons					
<u>.</u> :	(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes			
	Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No			
	Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Aboriginal Lan Office of Environme NSW Department of NSW Department of Transport for NSW - State Emergency Se	nt and Heritage Primary Industri Primary Industri Roads and Mari	es - Fishing and Aquad	culture	
			d Courseil			
	Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Del	egation :	RPA	
	Proposal type :	Minor		nmunity Consultation iod :	28 Days	

Palmers Island Planning	Proposal Locality	Мар	Yes	
Maps-Aerial.pdf Council planning proposal covering letter.pdf Zoning Maps.pdf		Proposal Covering Letter	Yes Yes	
		Map		
ning Team Recomn	nendation	the second s	1.11	
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage	e : Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones			
	1.5 Rural Lands			
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufa	ctured Home Estates		
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils			
	4.3 Flood Prone Land			
	5.1 Implementation of Regiona	l Strategies		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:			
	1. The planning proposal proc	eed as a 'minor' planning proposal.		
	2. A community consultation p	eriod of 28 days is necessary.		
	3. Consultation is required with	h the following public authorities under se	ction	
	56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:			
	- NSW Aboriginal land Cound	sil		
	- Office of Environment and			
	- NSW Department of Environ	-		
	- NSW Department of Primar			
	- NSW Department of Primar	y Industries - Fisheries		
	- Transport for NSW - Roads - State Emergency Services	and Maritime Services		
	Each public authority is to b	e provided with a copy of the planning pro	nosal	
		erial and given at least 21 days to commer		
	proposal.			
	P. P. C.	4		
		delegate agree that the inconsistencies wi		
	Section 117 Directions 1.2 R Lands is justified as of mind	ural Zones, 1.5 Rural Lands and 4.3 Flood or significance.	Prone	
	5. An Authorisation to exercise council for this planning pro	e delegation to make the plan be issued to posal.	the	
Supporting Reasons :	The reasons for the conditions to the Gateway Determination are as follows:			
	1. The inconsistencies of the p significance.	proposal with the S117 Directions are of m	inor	
	2. The proposed development and	of the land will result in a net community l	penefit;	
	3. Council has determined that strategically justified.	t the use of the site as a caravan park is		
Classification	01			
Signature:	JIM CLARK			

05 Dec 2013 03:33 pm